

TRANSPORTATION

DECEMBER 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

As many of you may have heard, the United States Department of Labor overtime regulations did not go into effect on December 1, 2016 as planned. This article provides an overview of the regulations and their anticipated fate with the incoming administration.

New Overtime Rules Mean Change is Coming for all Employers – Transportation Industry Included – or Do They?

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



Larry Hall focuses his practice on matters of transportation, product liability and personal injury. He has extensive experience representing local, regional and national clients in the trucking and rail industries throughout the litigation process. He works with transportation clients in all aspects of their business including immediate response investigations after catastrophic accidents, safety policies/training, regulatory compliance and general risk management. Larry is an active member of the Missouri Trucking Association, Illinois Trucking Association and the American Trucking Associations. He can be reached at Lhall@sandbergphoenix.com.

Amanda N. Johnson joined Sandberg Phoenix & von Gontard as an associate after completing a two-year judicial clerkship for the Hon. Paul C. Wilson at the Supreme Court of Missouri. At Sandberg Phoenix, Amanda handles a variety of product liability litigation, business litigation, and appellate matters. Amanda is admitted to practice in Missouri and Illinois. She can be reached at <u>amjohnson@sandbergphoenix.com</u>.

Mary Anne Mellow has over 30 years of experience representing clients regionally in high-stakes personal injury litigation. Mrs. Mellow enjoys a broad spectrum trial practice including product liability litigation, premises liability,



professional liability and medical malpractice defense. Mrs. Mellow is a member of the International Association of Defense Counsel, Defense Research Institute, the Trucking Industry Defense Association, and the Network of Trial Law Firms. She can be reached at <u>mmellow@sandbergphoenix.com</u>.
Narcisa Symank is a shareholder in the Business Litigation and Business Law Groups. Focusing exclusively on employment law she defends discrimination and related lawsuits. As a counselor, Narcisa provides practical

employment law, she defends discrimination and related lawsuits. As a counselor, Narcisa provides practical, business-minded advice on issues ranging from every-day human resources matters involving ADA accommodations and FMLA leave, to public accommodation concerns, and investigations of sexual harassment complaints and workplace violence threats. Narcisa also assists businesses and individuals with employment, severance and non-compete agreements. She can be reached at <u>nsymank@sandbergphoenix.com</u>.

ABOUT THE COMMITTEE

This IADC Committee was formed to combine practices of aviation, rail, maritime with trucking together to serve all members who are involved in the defense of transportation including aviation companies (including air carriers and aviation manufacturers), maritime companies (including offshore energy exploration and production), railroad litigation (including accidents and employee claims) and motor carriers and trucking insurance companies for personal injury claims, property damage claims and cargo claims. Learn more about the Committee at www.iadclaw.org. To contribute a newsletter article, contact:



Vice Chair of Publications Germer PLLC jmsmith@germer.com

The International Association of Defense Counsel serves a distinguished, invitation-only membership of corporate and insurance defense lawyers. The IADC dedicates itself to enhancing the development of skills, professionalism and camaraderie in the practice of law in order to serve and benefit the civil justice system, the legal profession, society and our members.



- 2 -**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE NEWSLETTER** December 2016

In May 2016, the United States Department of Labor published the long-awaited revision to regulations that are supposed to change the threshold for when an employer must pay an employee for overtime.¹ The effective date of the new regulations was December 1, 2016, and they were anticipated to cause dramatic changes for employers.² Within the first year of implementation, the update would automatically entitle over 4 million workers to overtime protections, unless employers updated the positions to comply with the changes.³ The impending change required employers to undertake workforce analysis and planning, including budget forecasting, to determine the best and most cost-efficient way to adapt to the changes to come.

The Final Rule focuses primarily on updating the salary and compensation levels needed for Executive, Administrative and Professional workers to be exempt from overtime pay.

Specifically, the Final Rule:

- Sets the standard salary level at the 40th percentile of earnings of full-time salaried workers in the lowest-wage Census Region, currently the South, which is \$913 per week; \$47,476 annually for a full-year worker;
- Sets the total annual compensation requirement for highly compensated employees

(HCE) subject to a minimal duties test to the annual equivalent of the 90th percentile of full-time salaried workers nationally, which is \$134,004 annually; and

 Establishes a mechanism for automatically updating the salary and compensation levels every three years to maintain the levels at the above percentiles and to ensure that they continue to provide useful and effective tests for exemption.⁴

This means that if the employees currently classified as exempt from overtime and minimum wage make less than the new salary threshold for the applicable exemption, they will become entitled to minimum wage and overtime.

Under the old rules, a salary may be as low as \$455 per week, or \$23,660 annually to satisfy the salary threshold part of the relevant exemptions. On December 1, that amount was supposed to increase to a minimum of \$913 per week, or \$47,476 per year. If an employee does not make at least that much, then the employer must pay 1.5 times the hourly rate when the employee works over 40 hours in a workweek.

However, on November 22, 2016, barely a week before the rules were to go into effect on December 1, 2016, in *State of Nevada, et*

¹ *Final Rule: Overtime*, U.S. DEPT. OF LABOR, <u>https://www.dol.gov/WHD/overtime/final2016/</u> (last visited Oct. 19, 2016).

² Id.

³ Id.

⁴ Fact Sheet: Final Rule to Update the Regulations Defining and Delimiting the Exemption for Executive, Administrative, and Professional Employees, U.S. DEPT. OF LABOR, 1-2 (May 2016),

https://www.dol.gov/whd/overtime/final2016/overti me-factsheet.pdf.



TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE NEWSLETTER December 2016

al. v. Department of Labor et al., Case Number 4:16-CV-00731, a federal district court in Texas, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, entered a nationwide injunction, enjoining the Department of Labor from enforcing the regulations. As a result, while processing the surprise of the injunction so close to when the rules were to go into effect, employers were left wondering whether they should or should not proceed with the planned changes, or continue with the already established changes to their workforces that were the result of mandatory compliance with the new regulations.

As December 1 came and went, speculation as to whether the new overtime regulation will now **EVER** go into effect has only increased. While the Department of Labor has appealed the injunction, there is a question as to whether the appeal will proceed at all following the change in administration when President-Elect Donald Trump takes office on January 20, 2017. Trump's selection of Andy Puzder, the President of CKE Restaurants, Inc., which includes Carl's Jr. fast food chain, further brings into question whether the regulations will survive. There is also question as to what President-Elect Trump meant on the campaign trail when purportedly indicating that he would like to see small business exceptions to the regulations, given such an exception already exists within the Fair Labor Standards Act.

Lastly, two previously introduced bills may signal legislative efforts to come that may circumvent or curtail the overtime rules, even provided the Department of Labor's Appeal is successful: the Regulatory Relief for Small Businesses, Schools, and Nonprofits, as well as the Overtime Reform & Review Act. The first sought to delay the enforcement of the regulations by six months. The latter, among other changes, sought to phase in increases in the salary threshold over time, eliminate the automatic updates, and possibly create new exemptions.

At this time, the only way to really know the fate of the much awaited salary threshold rules, is to wait. Stay tuned.



PAST COMMITTEE NEWSLETTERS

Visit the Committee's newsletter archive online at <u>www.iadclaw.org</u> to read other articles published by the Committee. Prior articles include:

NOVEMBER 2016

General Jurisdiction via State Registration Statute – Consistent with Daimler? – Part II Nancy M. Erfle, Amanda N. Johnson, Mary Anne Mellow and Steve Walsh

OCTOBER 2015

General Jurisdiction via State Registration Statute – Consistent with Daimler? Mary Anne Mellow, Michele Parrish and Nancy M. Erfle

SEPTEMBER 2015

Recent Regulatory Interpretations and Court Decisions on the Employment/Independent Contractor Relationship between Drivers and Transportation Network Companies Donna L. Burden and Andrew J. Kowalewski

AUGUST 2015

Transportation Network Companies: Recent Regulatory Challenges and Proposed Regulations Donna L. Burden and Andrew J. Kowalewski

JUNE 2015 Proximate Causation, Mitigation of Damages, and Avoidable Consequences - The Best Defense is a Good Offense Roy Alan Cohen

MARCH 2015

To Fly or Not to Fly? The Current Landscape for Civilian Unmanned Aircraft Kevin M. Smith and Carolina D. Ventura

JANUARY 2015

Diversity of Citizenship Removal: What Transportation Attorneys Should Know About "Bad Faith" and the One Year Time Limitation Donna L. Burden and Sarah E. Hansen

SEPTEMBER 2014

Collateral Source Evidence: Different Approaches to the Admissibility of Evidence of Paid or Incurred Medical Expenses J. Mitchell Smith and Jason C. Petty

AUGUST 2014

Tracy Morgan v. Wal-Mart: An Examination of Punitive Damages Jeptha "Jep" F. Barbour, Jill F. Bechtold and Heath L. Vickers

JULY 2014

Maritime Law: Reexamining the Definition of a "Vessel" Under the <u>Lozman</u> Reasonable Observer Test James B. "Jamie" Hood and Michael Leech

JUNE 2014

A Primer on Preemption under the Airline Deregulation Act & FAAAA Thomas W. "Trea" Southerland III and Kenneth D. Sansom